

Weil-étale Cohomology and Special Values of L-functions

Minh-Hoang Tran

Abstract

We construct the Weil-étale cohomology and Euler characteristics for a subclass of the class of $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves on an open subscheme of the spectrum of the ring of integers of a number field. Then we show that the special value of an Artin L-function of toric type at zero is given by the Weil-étale Euler characteristic of an appropriate $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf up to signs. As applications of our result, we will prove a formula for the special value of the L-function of an algebraic torus at zero which is similar to Ono's Tamagawa Number Formula.

1 Introduction

Let K be a number field with ring of integers O_K and Galois group G_K . Let S be a finite set of places containing the set of archimedean places S_∞ of K . Let $U = \text{Spec}(O_{K,S})$ and $j : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow U$ be the inclusion of the generic point. By a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type, we mean a torsion free, finitely generated abelian group with a continuous action by G_K . There are two main aims of this paper.

1. The first is to construct the Weil-étale cohomology $H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F})$ and Euler characteristic $\chi_U(\mathcal{F})$ for any strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U (see definition 3.1) with the following properties
 - If $\mathcal{F}$ is constructible then $\chi_U(\mathcal{F}) = 1$.
 - If $\pi' : V \rightarrow U$ is a finite morphism and $\mathcal{F}$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible on V then $\pi'_*\mathcal{F}$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible on U and $\chi_U(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}) = \chi_U(\mathcal{F})$.
 - χ_U is multiplicative with respect to some special classes of short exact sequences of strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves on U .
2. The second is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type. Suppose $L_S(M, s)$ is the Artin L-function associated with the representation $M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ of G_K modulo the local factors at S . Then $\text{ord}_{s=0} L_S(M, s) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_U(j_*M, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and*

$$L_S^*(M, 0) = \pm \chi_U(j_*M).$$

The fact that $L_S^*(M, 0)$ is related to the Euler characteristic of j_*M was established by Bienenfeld and Lichtenbaum and our proof is based on the techniques they developed in [Lic75] and [BL]. However, their Euler characteristic is constructed from the Artin-Verdier cohomology and is different from our Weil-étale Euler characteristic. The Weil-étale cohomology in this paper is not the same as the one constructed by Lichtenbaum in [Lic09a] but rather is based on his ideas in [Lic09b] and [Lic14] together with some modification to treat the non-totally imaginary number field case. We hope that the results in this paper provide evidence for Lichtenbaum's general philosophy namely : the special values of L-functions are given by the Weil-étale Euler characteristics

of appropriate sheaves. As applications, we will prove the following theorem which is similar to Ono's Tamagawa number formula [Ono63].

Theorem 1.2. *Let T be an algebraic torus defined over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let $h_{T,S}$, $R_{T,S}$ and w_T be the S -class number, the S -regulator and the number of roots of unity of T . Let $\mathbb{III}^1(T)$ be the Tate-Shafarevich group. Then $\text{ord}_{s=0} L_S(\hat{T}, s) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} T(O_{K,S})$ and*

$$L_S^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \pm \frac{h_{T,S} R_{T,S}}{w_T} \frac{[\mathbb{III}^1(T)]}{[H^1(K, \hat{T})]} \prod_{v \in S} [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] \prod_{v \notin S} [H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]. \quad (1.0.1)$$

The structure of the paper is as follows. We construct the Weil-étale cohomology and the regulator pairing in section 2. In sections 3, we discuss the main properties of strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves. In section 4, we construct the Weil-étale Euler characteristics and show that they have the properties listed above. In section 5, we prove our main results namely Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and illustrate them using the norm tori of quadratic extensions over $\mathbb{Q}$. Finally, we have an appendix containing the results about determinants of exact sequences and orders of torsion subgroups used in this paper. The readers are advised to skim through the appendix before reading section 4.

Acknowledgment: This paper is part of my PhD thesis written at Brown University. I would like to thank my advisor Professor Stephen Lichtenbaum for suggesting this problem to me. It would have been impossible for me to complete this project without his guidance and encouragement. Part of this work was written when I was a member of the SFB 1085 Higher Invariant Research Group at University of Regensburg. I would like to thank Professor Guido Kings for his support and my friend Yigeng Zhao for many helpful conversations.

2 The Weil-étale Cohomology of $\mathbb{Z}$ -Constructible Sheaves

2.1 The Weil-étale Complexes

We fix the following notations for the whole paper. Let K be a number field with ring of integers O_K and Galois group G_K . Let S_∞ be the set of all archimedean places of K and S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞ . Let $U = \text{Spec}(O_{K,S})$ and $j : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow U$ be the inclusion of the generic point. In this section, we define the Weil-étale complex for $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves on U following the ideas of Lichtenbaum [Lic14]. First, we recall the definition of $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves from [Mil06, page 146].

Definition 2.1. *A sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U is $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible if*

1. *there exists an open dense subscheme V of X and a finite étale covering $V' \rightarrow V$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to V' is a constant sheaf defined by a finitely generated abelian group,*
2. *for any point p outside V , the stalk $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{p}}$ is a finitely generated abelian group.*

We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is constructible if in the definition above the restriction of $\mathcal{F}$ to V' is a constant sheaf defined by a finite abelian group and for any point p outside V , the stalk $\mathcal{F}_{\bar{p}}$ is finite.

Example 2.2. 1. *Any constant sheaf defined by a finitely-generated abelian groups.*

2. *Let M be a discrete G_K -module, then $j_* M$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf. Furthermore, if M is finite then $j_* M$ is constructible.*

We need the cohomology with compact support constructed by Milne in [Mil06, page 165]. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf on U . Let $C^\circ(\mathcal{F})$ be the canonical Čech complex defined in [Mil06, page 145] and $C^\circ(U, \mathcal{F})$ be $\Gamma(U, C^\circ(\mathcal{F}))$ the complex of its global sections. Then under the assumption on U , $C^\circ(U, \mathcal{F}) \simeq R\Gamma_{et}(U, \mathcal{F})$.

For each prime v of K , let $\mathcal{F}_v$ be the discrete G_{K_v} -module corresponding to the pull-back of $\mathcal{F}$ to $\text{Spec}(K_v)$. Let $C^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v)$ be the standard inhomogeneous resolution of $\mathcal{F}_v$. If v is a real prime then let $S^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v)$ be the complete standard inhomogeneous resolution of $\mathcal{F}_v$, if not we define $S^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v)$ to be $C^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v)$. Then there is a morphism of complexes

$$u : C^\circ(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} S^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v).$$

We write $R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F})$ for the translate $C^\circ(u)[-1]$ of the mapping cone of u and $H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F}) := h^n(R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}))$ is defined as the cohomology with compact support of $\mathcal{F}$. We have the following long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{et}^n(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H_T^n(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \oplus \prod_{v \in S - S_\infty} H^n(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H_c^{n+1}(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (2.1.1)$$

where $H_T^n(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ is the Tate cohomology of the finite group $G_{K_v} = G(\mathbb{C}/K_v)$.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on U , the Weil-étale complex is defined as

$$R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F}) := \tau_{\leq 1} R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}) \oplus \tau_{\geq 2} R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]), \mathbb{Z}[-3])$$

where $\tau_{\leq n}$ and $\tau_{\geq n}$ are the truncation functors defined in [Wei94, 1.2.7]. This is an object in the derived category of abelian groups. The Weil-étale cohomology are defined by

$$H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) := h^n(R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F})).$$

For an abelian group A , we write $A^D := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $A^* := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A, \mathbb{Z})$.

Proposition 2.4. The Weil-étale cohomology of $\mathcal{F}$ satisfy

$$H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F}) & n \leq 1 \\ \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{tor}^D & n = 3 \\ 0 & n > 3. \end{cases} \quad (2.1.2)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{tor}^D \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. From the definition of $R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F})$, $H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F})$ for $n \leq 1$. For $n \geq 2$, from [Wei94, exercise 3.6.1], there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^{3-n}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{tor}^D \rightarrow H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^{2-n}(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence, $H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $n \geq 4$ and $H_W^3(U, \mathcal{F}) \simeq \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{tor}^D$. $\square$

Proposition 2.5. Suppose we have an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1.3)$$

Assume further that $\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is finite for all i . Then we have a long exact sequence of Weil-étale cohomology

$$\dots \rightarrow H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow H_W^3(U, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H_W^3(U, \mathcal{F}_3) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1.4)$$

Proof. As $R\mathrm{Hom}_U(-, \mathbb{G}_m[-1])$ and $R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Z}[-3])$ are exact functors, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\begin{aligned} R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\mathrm{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]), \mathbb{Z}[-3]) &\rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\mathrm{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]), \mathbb{Z}[-3]) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\mathrm{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]), \mathbb{Z}[-3]) \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(R\mathrm{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m[-1]), \mathbb{Z}[-3])[1]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1.5)$$

The long exact sequence of cohomology corresponding to (2.1.5) yields

$$H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_3) \rightarrow H_W^3(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_W^3(U, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H_W^3(U, \mathcal{F}_3) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.1.6)$$

As $Ext_U^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is finite, $Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \rightarrow Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m)^*$ is injective. Moreover, by the Artin-Verdier Duality [Mil06, II.3.1] $Ext_U^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)_{tor}^D \simeq H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_i)$. Applying the Snake Lemma to

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

we deduce

$$\ker(H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2)) \simeq \ker(H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2)).$$

Since $H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F})$ for $n \leq 1$, combining (2.1.6) with the exact sequence of cohomology with compact support corresponding to (2.1.3), we obtain (2.1.4). $\square$

2.2 The Regulator Pairing

We want to define a pairing for every étale sheaf on U such that it generalizes the construction of the S -regulator of a number field when the sheaf is $\mathbb{Z}$. For each place v of K , let j_v be the map $\mathrm{Spec}(K_v) \rightarrow U$. To ease notations, we make the following definition.

Definition 2.6. For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on U , we define the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_S$ to be

$$\mathcal{F}_S := \prod_{v \in S} (j_v)_* (j_v)^* \mathcal{F}.$$

There is a natural map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_S$ obtained by taking the direct sum over all v in S of the map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow (j_v)_* (j_v)^* \mathcal{F}$. If we write $\mathcal{F}_v$ for the discrete G_{K_v} -module corresponding to $(j_v)^* \mathcal{F}$ then

$$H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S) \simeq \prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v).$$

By the product formula, the following map Λ_K is well-defined.

$$\Lambda_K : \frac{H_{et}^0(U, (\mathbb{G}_m)_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathbb{G}_m)} = \frac{\prod_{v \in S} K_v^*}{O_{K,S}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_v) \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} \log |u_v|_v.$$

Definition 2.7. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf on U . The regulator pairing for $\mathcal{F}$

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} : \frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \times Hom_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (2.2.1)$$

is defined as follows. Let α and ϕ be elements of $H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S)/H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})$ and $Hom_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. By functoriality, ϕ induces a map

$$\phi_S : \frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \frac{H_{et}^0(U, (\mathbb{G}_m)_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathbb{G}_m)} = \frac{\prod_{v \in S} K_v^*}{O_{K,S}^*}.$$

Define $\langle \alpha, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{F}} := \Lambda_K(\phi_S(\alpha)) = \sum_{v \in S} \log |\phi_S(\alpha)|_v$.

Definition 2.8. Suppose the pairing $\langle, \rangle_{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-degenerate modulo torsion. Choose bases $\{v_i\}$ and $\{u_j\}$ for the torsion free quotient groups of $H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S)/H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ respectively. Define $R(\mathcal{F}) := |\det(\langle v_i, u_j \rangle_{\mathcal{F}})|$ to be the regulator of $\mathcal{F}$. This definition does not depend on the choice of bases.

Example 2.9. 1. If $\mathcal{F}$ is constructible then $\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S)$ are finite groups. Thus, the pairing is trivial and $R(\mathcal{F}) = 1$.

2. Consider the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on U , the regulator pairing in this case is

$$\left(\prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Z} \right) / \mathbb{Z} \times O_{K,S}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \quad ((n_v)_{v \in S}, u) \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} n_v \log |u|_v.$$

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_{[S]-1}\}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for $O_{K,S}^*/\mu_K$. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{[S]-1}\}$ be the standard basis for $\prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\langle e_v, u_j \rangle = \log |u_j|_v$. The determinant of the matrix $(\log |u_j|_v)$ is the S -unit regulator $R_{K,S}$ of the number field K . Hence $R(\mathbb{Z}) = R_{K,S}$.

3. Let T be an algebraic torus over a number field K . Then the pairing (2.7) for $j_*\hat{T}$ can be identified with the following pairing of the torus T :

$$\frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \hat{T})}{H^0(K, \hat{T})} \times T(O_{K,S}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \quad ((\chi_v)_{v \in S}, x) \mapsto \sum_{v \in S} \log |\chi_v(x)|_v.$$

In particular, it is non-degenerate modulo torsion. Moreover, the regulator $R(j_*\hat{T})$ is the same as the regulator $R_{T,S}$ defined in [Ono61].

3 Strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -Constructible Sheaves

3.1 Definitions and Examples

Definition 3.1. A $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on $U = \text{Spec}(O_{K,S})$ is called strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible if it satisfies the following conditions :

1. The map $H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_S)$ has finite kernel.
2. $H_{\text{et}}^1(U, \mathcal{F})$ and $\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ are finite abelian groups.
3. The regulator pairing (2.2.1) is non-degenerate modulo torsion.

Remark 3.2. Our definition is modeled after the definition of quasi-constructible sheaves of Binenfeld and Lichtenbaum (cf. [BL, section 4]).

Example 3.3. 1. Constant sheaves defined by finitely generated abelian groups.

2. Let v be a closed point of U and $i : v \rightarrow U$ be the natural map. Let M be a finite $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module. Then i_*M is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible. A non-example would be $i_*\mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, the kernel of $H_{\text{et}}^0(U, i_*\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, (i_*\mathbb{Z})_S)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$.

3. Constructible sheaves.

4. Let M be a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type. Then j_*M is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible (see Proposition 3.11).

The following proposition is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.4 and Artin-Verdier duality.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on U . Then*

$$H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) = \begin{cases} H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F}) & n \leq 1 \\ \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D & n = 3 \\ 0 & n > 3. \end{cases}$$

$$0 \rightarrow H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{F}$ is a constructible sheaf, then $H_W^n(U, \mathcal{F}) = H_c^n(U, \mathcal{F})$ for all n .

3.2 Main Properties

We study the main properties of strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves in this section.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose we have an exact sequence of étale sheaves on U*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_3$ is constructible. Then $\mathcal{F}_1$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible if and only if $\mathcal{F}_2$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}_3$ is constructible, by [Mil06, page 146], $\mathcal{F}_1$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible if and only if $\mathcal{F}_2$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible.

As $H_{\text{et}}^n(U, \mathcal{F}_3)$ and $\text{Ext}_U^n(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathbb{G}_m)$ are finite for all n , $H_{\text{et}}^n(U, \mathcal{F}_1)$ and $H_{\text{et}}^n(U, \mathcal{F}_2)$ differ only by finite groups and so do $\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Since $H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{3,S})$ and $H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_3)$ are finite, $(H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{1,S})/H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_1))_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq (H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{2,S})/H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_2))_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence, conditions 1 and 2 of 3.1 hold for $\mathcal{F}_1$ if and only if they hold for $\mathcal{F}_2$.

By functoriality, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(\frac{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{1,S})}{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_1)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \times & \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \uparrow \simeq \\ \left(\frac{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{2,S})}{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_2)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \times & \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \downarrow id \\ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{array}$$

As a result, condition 3 of 3.1 holds for $\mathcal{F}_1$ if and only if it holds for $\mathcal{F}_2$. □

Next we want to show that strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves are stable under push-forward by a finite morphism. Let L/K be a finite Galois extension of number fields. Let V be the normalization of $U = \text{Spec}(O_{K,S})$ in L . Then $V = \text{Spec}(O_{L,S'})$ where S' is the set of places of L lying over a place of K in S . Let $\pi : \text{Spec}(L) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ and $\pi' : V \rightarrow U$ be the natural finite morphisms.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on V . For each place v of K and each place w of L lying over K , let $j_w : \text{Spec}(L_w) \rightarrow V$ and $\pi_w : \text{Spec}(L_w) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K_v)$ be the natural maps. Then*

$$j_v^* \pi'_* \mathcal{F} \simeq \prod_{w|v} (\pi_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}.$$

Proof. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod_{w|v} \text{Spec}(L_w) & \xrightarrow{\prod_{w|v} j_w} & \text{Spec}(O_{L,S'}) \\ \downarrow \prod_{w|v} \pi_w & & \downarrow \pi' \\ \text{Spec}(K_v) & \xrightarrow{j_v} & \text{Spec}(O_{K,S}) \end{array}$$

Since $\pi'_*(j_w)_* = (j_v)_*(\pi_w)_*$ for $w|v$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{K_v}(j_v^* \pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \prod_{w|v} (\pi_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_U(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \prod_{w|v} (j_v)_* (\pi_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}_U(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \pi'_* \prod_{w|v} (j_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the adjoint map $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_{w|v} (j_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}$ induces a canonical map $j_v^* \pi'_* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \prod_{w|v} (\pi_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}$. Let $\eta_K = \text{Spec}(K)$, $\eta_v = \text{Spec}(K_v)$ and similarly for η_L and η_w . Then

$$(j_v^* \pi'_* \mathcal{F})_{\eta_v} = \mathcal{F}_{\eta_L}^{[L:K]} = \left(\prod_{w|v} (\pi_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F} \right)_{\eta_v}.$$

Therefore, $j_v^* \pi'_* \mathcal{F} \simeq \prod_{w|v} (\pi_w)_* j_w^* \mathcal{F}$. □

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on V . Then the following hold*

1. *The norm map induces a natural isomorphism $Nm : \text{Ext}_V^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^n(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$.*
2. *There is a natural isomorphism $H_W^n(U, \pi'_* \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_W^n(V, \mathcal{F})$.*
3. *The sheaf $(\pi'_* \mathcal{F})_S$ is isomorphic to $\pi'_*(\mathcal{F}_S)$. In particular, $H_{et}^0(U, (\pi'_* \mathcal{F})_S) \simeq H_{et}^0(V, \mathcal{F}_S)$.*

Proof. 1. We begin by describing the map Nm . The norm map $N_{L/K}$ induces a morphism of sheaves $N_{L/K} : \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_{m,V} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m,U}$. As π' is a finite morphism, π'_* is an exact functor [Mil80, II.3.6]. Therefore, π'_* induces the map $\text{Ext}_V^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_{m,V}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^n(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_{m,V})$. We define Nm to be the composition of the following maps

$$\text{Ext}_V^n(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_{m,V}) \xrightarrow{\pi'_*} \text{Ext}_U^n(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \pi'_* \mathbb{G}_{m,V}) \xrightarrow{N_{L/K}} \text{Ext}_U^n(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_{m,U}).$$

The fact that Nm is an isomorphism is proved in [Mil06, II.3.9].

2. By [Mil06, II.2.3], $H_c^n(U, \pi'_* \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_c^n(V, \mathcal{F})$. Therefore, $H_W^n(U, \pi'_* \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_W^n(V, \mathcal{F})$ for $n \leq 1$. In addition, by part 1, we have

$$H_W^3(U, \pi'_* \mathcal{F}) = \text{Hom}_U(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D \simeq \text{Hom}_V(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D = H_W^3(V, \mathcal{F}).$$

To prove $H_W^2(V, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H_W^2(U, \pi'_* \mathcal{F})$, we apply the 5-lemma to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_U^1(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D & \longrightarrow & H_W^2(U, \pi'_* \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_U(\pi'_* \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}_V^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D & \longrightarrow & H_W^2(V, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}_V(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)^* \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

3. Recall from Lemma 3.6 that for $w|v$, we have $(j_v)_*(\pi_w)_* = \pi'_*(j_w)_*$. In addition, $(j_v)^*\pi'_*\mathcal{F} \simeq \prod_{w|v}(\pi_w)_*(j_w)^*\mathcal{F}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi'_*\mathcal{F})_S &= \prod_{v \in S} (j_v)_*(j_v)^*\pi'_*\mathcal{F} \simeq \prod_{v \in S} (j_v)_* \left(\prod_{w|v} (\pi_w)_*(j_w)^*\mathcal{F} \right) \\ &= \prod_{w \in S'} (j_v)_*(\pi_w)_*(j_w)^*\mathcal{F} = \prod_{w \in S'} \pi'_*(j_w)_*(j_w)^*\mathcal{F} = \pi'_*(\mathcal{F}_{S'}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $H_{et}^0(U, (\pi'_*\mathcal{F})_S) \simeq H_{et}^0(U, \pi'_*(\mathcal{F}_{S'})) \simeq H_{et}^0(V, \mathcal{F}_{S'})$. □

Proposition 3.8. *If $\mathcal{F}$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible then so is $\pi'_*\mathcal{F}$ and $R(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}) = R(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. As π'_* preserves $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves [Mil06, page 146], $\pi'_*\mathcal{F}$ is $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible. From Lemma 3.7, it remains to show that the regulator pairing of $\pi'_*\mathcal{F}$ is non-degenerate and $R(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}) = R(\mathcal{F})$. They will all follow once we prove the diagram below commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, (\pi'_*\mathcal{F})_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \pi'_*\mathcal{F})} \right) & \times & Hom_U(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \simeq \downarrow \psi & & Nm \uparrow \simeq \quad \quad \downarrow id \\ \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(V, \mathcal{F}_{S'})}{H_{et}^0(V, \mathcal{F})} \right) & \times & Hom_V(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \end{array} \quad (3.2.2)$$

Let α and ϕ be elements of $H_{et}^0(U, (\pi'_*\mathcal{F})_S)$ and $Hom_V(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. We need to show

$$\Lambda_L \circ \phi_S(\psi(\alpha)) = \Lambda_K \circ Nm(\phi)_S(\alpha). \quad (3.2.3)$$

From Lemma 3.7, $Nm(\phi) = N_{L/K} \circ \pi'_*\phi$. Let us consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Nm(\phi)_S & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, (\pi'_*\mathcal{F})_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \pi'_*\mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{(\pi'_*\phi)_S} & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, (\pi'_*\mathbb{G}_m)_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \pi'_*\mathbb{G}_m)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{(N_{L/K})_S} & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, (\mathbb{G}_m)_S)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathbb{G}_m)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi & \nearrow N_{L/K} & \downarrow \Lambda_K \\ \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(V, \mathcal{F}_{S'})}{H_{et}^0(V, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{S'}} & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(V, (\mathbb{G}_m)_{S'})}{H_{et}^0(V, \mathbb{G}_m)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\Lambda_L} & \mathbb{R} \end{array} \quad (3.2.4)$$

The left square of (3.2.4) commutes by functoriality. It is not hard to see the upper triangle in the square on the right hand side is commutative. We shall prove that the lower triangle also commutes. Let $\beta = (\beta_w)_{w \in S'}$ be an element of $H_{et}^0(V, (\mathbb{G}_m)_S) \simeq \prod_{w \in S'} L_w^*$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_K(N_{L/K}(\beta)) &= \sum_{v \in S} \log |N_{L/K}(\beta)_v|_v = \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{w|v} \log |N_{L_w/K_v}(\beta_w)|_v \\ &= \sum_{v \in S} \sum_{w|v} \log |\beta_w|_w = \sum_{w \in S'} \log |\beta_w|_w = \Lambda_L(\beta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, diagram (3.2.4) is commutative and from this we deduce equation (3.2.3). As a result, diagram (3.2.2) commutes. Hence, the proposition is proved. □

Corollary 3.9. $\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}$ is a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf.

Proposition 3.10. Let M be a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type. Then there exist finitely many Galois extensions $\{K_\mu\}_\mu$, $\{K_\lambda\}_\lambda$ of K , a positive integer n and a finite G_K -module N such that if $\pi_\mu : \text{Spec}(K_\mu) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ and $\pi_\lambda : \text{Spec}(K_\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(K)$ are the natural maps then we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^n \oplus \prod_{\mu} (\pi_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda} (\pi_\lambda)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2.5)$$

Furthermore, let $U = \text{Spec}(O_{K,S})$ where S is a finite set of places of K containing S_∞ . Let V_μ and V_λ be the normalization of U in $\text{Spec}(K_\mu)$ and $\text{Spec}(K_\lambda)$. Let $\pi'_\mu : V_\mu \rightarrow U$ and $\pi'_\lambda : V_\lambda \rightarrow U$ be the natural maps. Then there exists a constructible sheaf $\mathcal{Q}$ such that

$$0 \rightarrow (j_* M)^n \oplus \prod_{\mu} (\pi'_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda} (\pi'_\lambda)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0. \quad (3.2.6)$$

Proof. The existence of (3.2.5) is precisely [Ono61, 1.5.1]. Let $P_1 = \prod_{\mu} (\pi_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z}$ and $P_2 = \prod_{\lambda} (\pi_\lambda)_* \mathbb{Z}$. Then $j_* P_1 = \prod_{\mu} (\pi'_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z}$ and $j_* P_2 = \prod_{\lambda} (\pi'_\lambda)_* \mathbb{Z}$. By applying j_* to (3.2.5), we obtain the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow j_* M^n \oplus \prod_{\mu} (\pi'_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \prod_{\lambda} (\pi'_\lambda)_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{Q}$ is a subsheaf of $j_* N$. As N is finite, $j_* N$ is constructible and so is $\mathcal{Q}$. $\square$

Proposition 3.11. Let M be a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type. Then $j_* M$ is a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf.

Proof. Consider sequence (3.2.6) of Proposition 3.10. As $\mathcal{Q}$ is constructible and $\prod_{\lambda} (\pi'_\lambda)_* \mathbb{Z}$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible, $(j_* M)^n \oplus \prod_{\mu} (\pi'_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z}$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible by Proposition 3.5. Since $\prod_{\mu} (\pi'_\mu)_* \mathbb{Z}$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible, so is $j_* M$. $\square$

4 Euler Characteristics of Strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -Constructible Sheaves

4.1 Construction

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf on U . We use the notations of section 2. Composing the natural maps

$$R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}) \quad \& \quad R\Gamma_c(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow C^\circ(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} S^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v)$$

yields the map

$$R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} S^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v). \quad (4.1.1)$$

Definition 4.1. We define the complex $D_{\mathcal{F}}$ by the translate of the mapping cone of (4.1.1)

$$D_{\mathcal{F}} := \left[R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} S^\circ(\mathcal{F}_v) \right] [-1].$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an étale sheaf on U . Then $H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^2(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow H^3(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^3(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H^4(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.2)$$

Moreover, $H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H_T^{n-1}(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ for $n \notin \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$.

Proof. There is a distinguished triangle

$$D_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow R\Gamma_W(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} S^{\circ}(\mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow D_{\mathcal{F}}[1].$$

The long exact sequence of cohomology yields (4.1.2) and $H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \simeq \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H_T^{n-1}(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ for $n \notin \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$. The lemma then follows from Proposition 2.4. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on U . Then $H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is finite for $n \neq 1, 2$ and we can construct an isomorphism $\Theta(\mathcal{F}) : H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. Clearly, $H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is finite for $n \notin \{0, 1, 2\}$. It is not hard to see that condition 1 of 3.1 is equivalent to the $H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is finite. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S - S_{\infty}} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F})_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_1) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S - S_{\infty}} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F})_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_2) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S - S_{\infty}} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S - S_{\infty}} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & 0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & (\mathcal{E}_3) & & (\mathcal{E}_0) & & \end{array} \quad (4.1.3)$$

Note that the exact sequences $(\mathcal{E}_1)$, $(\mathcal{E}_2)$ are induced from (2.1.1) and (4.1.2) respectively, whereas $(\mathcal{E}_3)$ is a canonical exact sequence. By choosing sections of $(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2)$ we can construct $(\mathcal{E}_0)$

such that (4.1.3) is commutative. Next, we consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S - S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_0) \\
& \downarrow id & & \downarrow \Theta_1 & & \downarrow id & & \\
0 \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S - S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_4)
\end{array} \tag{4.1.4}$$

Note that $(\mathcal{E}_4)$ is canonical and $(\mathcal{E}_0)$ is taken from (4.1.3). Again by choosing sections of $(\mathcal{E}_4)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_0)$, we can construct an isomorphism (not canonically),

$$\Theta_1 : H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \tag{4.1.5}$$

such that (4.1.4) commutes. From (4.1.2), we have an isomorphism

$$\Theta_2 : H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}}^*.$$

Since the regulator pairing (2.7) is non-degenerate, there is an isomorphism

$$\Theta_3 : \left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \simeq \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}}^*.$$

Let us define $\Theta(\mathcal{F}) := \Theta_2^{-1} \Theta_3 \Theta_1$. Then $\Theta(\mathcal{F}) : H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

With respect to integral bases and subject to the condition that the diagrams (4.1.3) and (4.1.4) are commutative, the determinant of $\Theta(\mathcal{F})$ does not depend on the choices of sections of $(\mathcal{E}_i)$ and is well-defined. Therefore, we can make the following definition.

Definition 4.4. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on U . For each $v \in S_\infty$, let $h(G_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ be the Herbrand quotient of $\mathcal{F}_v$ with respect to the cyclic group $G_v = G(\mathbb{C}/K_v)$. We define the Euler characteristic $\chi_U(\mathcal{F})$ by*

$$\chi_U(\mathcal{F}) := \prod_{n=0}^5 [H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{tor}]^{(-1)^n} |\det(\Theta(\mathcal{F}))| \prod_{v \in S_\infty} h(G_v, \mathcal{F}_v)^3$$

where $\det(\Theta(\mathcal{F}))$ is computed with respect to integral bases. We also define the rank of $\mathcal{F}$ to be

$$E_U(\mathcal{F}) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^n n \cdot \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

If $U = X = \text{Spec}(O_K)$ then we simply write $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ and $E(\mathcal{F})$ instead of $\chi_X(\mathcal{F})$ and $E_X(\mathcal{F})$.

We will compute $\chi_U(\mathcal{F})$ in the next theorem. This is a rather long and tedious calculation. The readers are advised to refer to the appendix for the facts we need about determinants of exact sequences and orders of torsion subgroups.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on U . Let $\Psi^n(\mathcal{F})$ be the map $H_{\text{et}}^n(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^n(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ and $\delta(\mathcal{F})$ be the map*

$$\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) / H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}).$$

Then $E_U(\mathcal{F}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and

$$\chi_U(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{[H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})_{\text{tor}}][\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)]R(\mathcal{F})}{[\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D][\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}))][\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F})_{\text{tor}})] \prod_{v \in S} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{\text{tor}}]} \quad (4.1.6)$$

Proof. Since $H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ is finite for $n \neq 1, 2$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}}) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ for $n = 1, 2$, the first part of the theorem is clear. For the second part, we need to compute $\det(\Theta_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Clearly, $\det(\Theta_3) = R(\mathcal{F})$. Next we compute $\det(\Theta_2)$. From (4.1.2), we have

$$0 \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow Q_3 \rightarrow 0$$

where Q_2 is the cokernel of the map

$$H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$$

and Q_3 is the kernel of

$$\prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^2(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H^3(D_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

Then by Proposition 6.7

$$\det(\Theta_2) = \frac{[H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\text{tor}}][Q_3]}{[Q_2][H_W^2(U, \mathcal{F})_{\text{tor}}]} = \frac{[H^2(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\text{tor}}][H^4(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\text{tor}}][\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}^D]}{[H^3(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\text{tor}}][Q_2][H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F})] \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} h(G_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}. \quad (4.1.7)$$

Now we compute $\det(\Theta_1)$. From (4.1.4) and (4.1.3) and Lemma 6.2, we have

$$\det(\Theta_1) = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{E}_4)}{\nu(\mathcal{E}_0)} = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{E}_1)\nu(\mathcal{E}_4)}{\nu(\mathcal{E}_2)\nu(\mathcal{E}_3)}$$

where $\nu(\mathcal{E}_i)$ is the determinant of $(\mathcal{E}_i)$ with respect to integral bases (see the appendix for details). Hence, we need to compute $\nu(\mathcal{E}_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. We will do so in the next lemmas. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *With notations as in Theorem 4.5, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathcal{E}_1) &= \frac{\left[\left(\frac{H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\text{tor}} \right] [H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F})_{\text{tor}}]}{\prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} [H_T^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)] \prod_{v \in S - S_{\infty}} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{\text{tor}}] [\ker(\Psi_U^1(\mathcal{F}))]} \\ \nu(\mathcal{E}_2) &= \frac{\left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{\text{tor}} \right] [H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F})_{\text{tor}}]}{[H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{\text{tor}}][Q_1]} \end{aligned}$$

where Q_1 is the image of the map

$$H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_{\infty}} H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v).$$

Proof. Note that $(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2)$ are induced by the exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} &\rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H_T^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \oplus \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \ker(\Psi_U^1(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} &\rightarrow H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the lemma follows by just applying Proposition 6.7. $\square$

Lemma 4.7. *Let β be the map $H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\mathcal{E}_3) &= \frac{[H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{tor}] \left[\left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{tor} \right] \left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{tor} \right]}{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} [H_T^{-1}(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)] [\ker(\beta)] \left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{tor} \right]} \\ \nu(\mathcal{E}_4) &= \frac{[H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})_{tor}] \left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{tor} \right]}{[\ker(\beta)] \prod_{v \in S_\infty} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{tor}] [\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F})_{tor})]}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We consider the following composition of maps

$$\begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em} \beta \hspace{10em}} \\ H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\Psi^0(\mathcal{F})} \prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \xrightarrow{\pi} \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v). \end{array}$$

From (2.1.1) and (4.1.2), $\ker(\alpha) \simeq \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H_T^{-1}(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)$ and $\ker(\beta \circ \alpha) \simeq H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}})$. Therefore, from the kernel-cokernel exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H_T^{-1}(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) &\rightarrow H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \ker(\beta) \rightarrow \frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1.8)$$

Applying Proposition 6.7 to (4.1.8) yields the formula for $\nu(\mathcal{E}_3)$. Again from the kernel-cokernel exact sequence,

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\Psi^0(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow \ker(\beta) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1.9)$$

Applying Proposition 6.7 to (4.1.9) yields

$$\nu(\mathcal{E}_4) = \frac{[\ker(\Psi_U^0(\mathcal{F}))] \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{tor}] \left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{tor} \right]}{[\ker(\beta)] \left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \right)_{tor} \right]}. \quad (4.1.10)$$

Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\Psi^0(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\Psi^0(\mathcal{F})} \prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \xrightarrow{\delta(\mathcal{F})} \frac{\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})} \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1.11)$$

Applying Lemma 6.5 to (4.1.11) yields

$$\frac{[\ker(\Psi^0(\mathcal{F}))]}{\left[\left(\frac{\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})}\right)_{tor}\right]} = \frac{[H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})_{tor}]}{\prod_{v \in S} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{tor}] [\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F})_{tor})]}. \quad (4.1.12)$$

Combining (4.1.10) and (4.1.12) gives the formula for $\nu(\mathcal{E}_4)$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.5. From Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7,

$$\det(\Theta_1) = \frac{[H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{tor}] [H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F})_{tor}] [Q_1] \prod_{v \in S_\infty} h(G_v, \mathcal{F}_v)^{-1}}{[H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}})_{tor}] \prod_{v \in S} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)_{tor}] [\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F})_{tor})] [\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}))]}. \quad (4.1.13)$$

Note that

$$[Q_1][Q_2] = \prod_{v \in S_\infty} [H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)] = [H^5(D_{\mathcal{F}})] \prod_{v \in S_\infty} h(G_v, \mathcal{F}_v)^{-1}.$$

Then, putting everything together, we obtain (4.1.6). $\square$

4.2 Simple Computations

As examples, we will compute a few Euler characteristics in this section.

Proposition 4.8. *Let h_S , R_S and w be the S -class number, the S -regulator and the number of roots of unity of K respectively. Then $\chi_U(\mathbb{Z}) = h_S R_S / w$. In particular, $\zeta_{K,S}^*(0) = -\chi(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. We have $H_{et}^1(U, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $[Ext_U^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{G}_m)] = [Pic(O_{K,S})] = h_S$. Clearly, $\text{cok}(\delta(\mathbb{Z})_{tor}) = 0$ and $\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H_{et}^0(U, \mathbb{Z})$ are torsion-free. In addition, $R(\mathbb{Z}) = R_S$ and $[(O_{K,S}^*)_{tor}] = w$. As a result, $\chi_U(\mathbb{Z}) = h_S R_S / w$. Therefore, $\zeta_{K,S}^*(0) = -\chi_U(\mathbb{Z})$ by [Tat84, I.2.2]. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *Euler characteristics of finite constant sheaves are 1.*

Proof. It suffices to prove this proposition for the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}/n$. For an abelian group M , we write $M[n]$ for the kernel of the multiplication-by- n map. We have $Hom_U(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mu_n(K)$ and

$$0 \rightarrow O_K^* / (O_K^*)^n \rightarrow Ext_U^1(\mathbb{Z}/n, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow Pic(O_K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

Observe that $[H_{et}^0(U, \mathbb{Z}/n)] = n$, $[H_{et}^0(U, (\mathbb{Z}/n)_S)] = n^{[S]}$ and $R(\mathbb{Z}/n) = 1$. From Dirichlet's Unit Theorem, $[O_{K,S}^* / (O_{K,S}^*)^n] = n^{[S]-1} \times [\mu(K) / \mu(K)^n]$. Also, $\text{cok}(\delta(\mathbb{Z}/n)_{tor}) = 0$. From (4.1.6), it remains to show $[\ker(\Psi^1(\mathbb{Z}/n))] = [Pic(O_{K,S})[n]]$. Indeed, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & H_{et}^1(U, \mathbb{Z}/n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^1(\mathbb{Z}/n)} & \prod_{v \in S} H^1(K_v, \mathbb{Z}/n) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^2(\mathbb{Z})} & \prod_{v \in S} H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z}) \\ & & \downarrow n & & \downarrow n & & \downarrow n \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^2(U, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^2(\mathbb{Z})} & \prod_{v \in S} H^2(K_v, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

By diagram chasing, $\ker(\Psi^1(\mathbb{Z}/n)) \simeq H_c^2(U, \mathbb{Z})[n]$ which is isomorphic to $(Pic(O_{K,S})/n)^D$ by Artin-Verdier Duality. Hence, we are done. $\square$

Definition 4.10. We say $\mathcal{F}$ is a negligible sheaf on U if it has finite support and its stalks are finite everywhere. Note that negligible sheaves are constructible.

Proposition 4.11. Euler characteristics of negligible sheaves are 1.

Proof. It is enough to prove this lemma for the sheaf i_*M where M is a finite $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ -module. We have $H_{\text{ét}}^n(U, i_*M) \simeq H^n(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M)$ which is 0 for $n \geq 2$ [Ser95, page 189]. Moreover, $[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M)] = [H^1(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, M)]$ for finite M [Mil06, page 32]. For any place v and any n , $H^n(K_v, (i_*M)_v) = 0$. Therefore $\chi_U(i_*M) = 1$. $\square$

Propositions 4.9 and 4.11 are special cases of the following proposition.

Proposition 4.12. Euler characteristics of constructible sheaves are 1.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a constructible sheaf on U . By (4.1.6) and the Artin-Verdier Duality, we have

$$\chi_U(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{[H_{\text{ét}}^0(U, \mathcal{F})][H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F})]}{[H_c^3(U, \mathcal{F})][\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}))] \prod_{v \in S} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)]}. \quad (4.2.1)$$

From the exact sequence (2.1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H_T^{-1}(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H_T^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \oplus \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v) \rightarrow H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F})) \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

$\chi_U(\mathcal{F})$ can be rewritten as

$$\chi_U(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{[H_c^0(U, \mathcal{F})][H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F})]}{[H_c^1(U, \mathcal{F})][H_c^3(U, \mathcal{F})] \prod_{v \in S_\infty} [H^0(K_v, \mathcal{F}_v)]} = 1$$

where the last equality follows from [Mil06, II.2.13]. $\square$

Proposition 4.13. Let L be a finite Galois extension of K . Let $V = \text{Spec}(O_{L,S'})$ be the normalization of U in L and $\pi' : V \rightarrow U$ be the natural map. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on V . Then $\pi'_*\mathcal{F}$ is a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf on U . Moreover, $H^n(D_{\pi'_*\mathcal{F}}) \simeq H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})$ and $\chi_U(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}) = \chi_V(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. From Proposition 3.8, $\pi'_*\mathcal{F}$ is a strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaf and $R(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}) = R(\mathcal{F})$. To show $H^n(D_{\pi'_*\mathcal{F}}) \simeq H^n(D_{\mathcal{F}})$, we only need to apply the 5-lemma to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 \rightarrow & H^0(D_{\pi'_*\mathcal{F}}) & \rightarrow & H_c^0(U, \pi'_*\mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^0(K_v, (\pi'_*\mathcal{F})_v) & \rightarrow & H^1(D_{\pi'_*\mathcal{F}}) & \rightarrow & H_c^1(U, \pi'_*\mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow \dots \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & \\ 0 \rightarrow & H^0(D_{\mathcal{F}}) & \rightarrow & H_c^0(V, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & \prod_{w \in S_{L,\infty}} H^0(L_w, \mathcal{F}_w) & \rightarrow & H^1(D_{\mathcal{F}}) & \rightarrow & H_c^1(V, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

where the rows are exact from Proposition 4.2. Finally, each term in formula (4.1.6) is invariant with respect to π'_* . Therefore, $\chi_U(\pi'_*\mathcal{F}) = \chi_V(\mathcal{F})$. $\square$

Corollary 4.14. The sheaf $\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ on $\text{Spec}(K)$ corresponds to the induced G_K -module $\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K}(\mathbb{Z})$. If we write $\pi_*\mathbb{Z}$ for $\text{Ind}_{G_L}^{G_K}(\mathbb{Z})$ then $L_S^*(\pi_*\mathbb{Z}, 0) = -\chi_U(\pi_*\mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. By Propositions 4.8, 4.13 and the fact that $L_S(\pi_*\mathbb{Z}, s) = \zeta_{L,S'}(s)$, we have

$$L_S^*(\pi_*\mathbb{Z}, 0) = \zeta_{L,S'}^*(0) = -\chi_V(\mathbb{Z}) = -\chi_U(\pi'_*\mathbb{Z}).$$

$\square$

4.3 Multiplicative Property

Definition 4.15. Suppose we have a short exact sequence of strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves on U

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3.1)$$

We say that χ_U is multiplicative with respect to (4.3.1) if $\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_2) = \chi_U(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_3)$.

Clearly if (4.3.1) splits then χ_U is multiplicative with respect to (4.3.1). We want to know whether χ_U is multiplicative or not in general. Unfortunately, we do not know the answer to this question except in special case when K is totally imaginary and $U = X$ (see Proposition 4.17). Fortunately, we only need the multiplicative property of χ_U for a special type of exact sequences for the proof of our main results (see Proposition 4.18).

From (4.1.6), $\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_3)/\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_2)$ is given by

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{R(\mathcal{F}_i)}{[\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}})]} \right)^{(-1)^{i+1}} \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{[H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}}][\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}][H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,B})_{\text{tor}}]} \right)^{(-1)^{i+1}} \prod_{i=1}^3 [\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_i))]^{(-1)^i}. \quad (4.3.2)$$

From the long exact sequence of cohomology associated with (4.3.1)

$$(\mathcal{H}^0) : \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_3) \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3.3)$$

Applying Proposition 6.7 to (4.3.3), we obtain

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^3 [H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}}]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right) = \nu(\mathcal{H}^0)_{\mathbb{R}}[Q_1]. \quad (4.3.4)$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\text{Hom}) : \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3.5)$$

$$0 \rightarrow R_1 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow R_2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3.6)$$

Applying Proposition 6.7 to (4.3.5) yields

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^3 [\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right) = \nu(\text{Hom})_{\mathbb{R}}[R_1]. \quad (4.3.7)$$

From (4.3.6), we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^3 [\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right) = [R_1][R_2]. \quad (4.3.8)$$

Let $(\mathcal{H}_S)$ be the exact sequence

$$(\mathcal{H}_S) : \quad 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{1,S}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{2,S}) \rightarrow H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{3,S}) \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.3.9)$$

Applying Proposition 6.7 to (4.3.9), we obtain

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^3 [H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,S})_{\text{tor}}]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right) = \nu(\mathcal{H}_S)_{\mathbb{R}}[P_1]. \quad (4.3.10)$$

Therefore,

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{[H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}}][\text{Ext}_U^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)]}{[\text{Hom}_U(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}][H_{\text{et}}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,B})_{\text{tor}}]} \right)^{(-1)^{i+1}} = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{H}^0)_{\mathbb{R}}[Q_1][R_2]}{\nu(\text{Hom})_{\mathbb{R}}\nu(\mathcal{H}_S)_{\mathbb{R}}[P_1]}. \quad (4.3.11)$$

Proposition 4.16.

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 \left(\frac{R(\mathcal{F}_i)}{[\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}})]} \right)^{(-1)^{i+1}} = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{H}om)_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(\mathcal{H}_S)_{\mathbb{R}}}{\nu(\mathcal{H}^0)_{\mathbb{R}}}. \quad (4.3.12)$$

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,S})_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)} \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (4.3.13)$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_i$ is strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible, $\ker(\Psi^0(\mathcal{F}_i))$ is finite. Applying Lemma 6.5 to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker(\Psi^0(\mathcal{F}_i)) \rightarrow H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i) \xrightarrow{\Psi^0(\mathcal{F}_i)} H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,S}) \xrightarrow{\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)} \frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{i,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_i)} \rightarrow 0$$

we deduce that (4.3.13) has determinant $[\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}})]$ with respect to integral bases. Applying Lemma 6.4 to the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{1,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_1)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{2,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_2)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{3,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_3)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{H}_S/\mathcal{H}^0) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}}^* & \longrightarrow & Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_2, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}}^* & \longrightarrow & Hom_U(\mathcal{F}_3, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\mathbb{R}}^* \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{H}om)_{\mathbb{R}}^* \end{array}$$

yields

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^3 R(\mathcal{F}_i)^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right) = \nu(\mathcal{H}_S/\mathcal{H}^0) \nu(\mathcal{H}om)_{\mathbb{R}}. \quad (4.3.14)$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_1)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_2)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_3)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{H}^0)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{1,S})_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{2,S})_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{3,S})_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{H}_S)_{\mathbb{R}} \\ & & \downarrow \delta(\mathcal{F}_1) & & \downarrow \delta(\mathcal{F}_2) & & \downarrow \delta(\mathcal{F}_3) \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{1,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_1)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{2,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_2)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} & \longrightarrow & \left(\frac{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_{3,S})}{H_{et}^0(U, \mathcal{F}_3)} \right)_{\mathbb{R}} \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{H}_S/\mathcal{H}^0) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\ & & (\mathcal{E}_1) & & (\mathcal{E}_2) & & (\mathcal{E}_3) \end{array}$$

Applying Lemma 6.2 and note that $\nu(\mathcal{E}_i) = [\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}})]$, we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^3 [\text{cok}(\delta(\mathcal{F}_i)_{\text{tor}})]^{(-1)^{i+1}} \right) = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{H}^0)_{\mathbb{R}} \nu(\mathcal{H}_S/\mathcal{H}^0)}{\nu(\mathcal{H}_S)_{\mathbb{R}}}. \quad (4.3.15)$$

Therefore, (4.3.12) follows from (4.3.14) and (4.3.15). $\square$

Combining (4.3.11) and (4.3.12) yields

$$\frac{\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_3)}{\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_2)} = \frac{[Q_1][R_2]}{[P_1]} \prod_{i=1}^3 [\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_i))]^{(-1)^i} \quad (4.3.16)$$

Proposition 4.17. *Suppose K is a totally imaginary number field and $U = X = \text{Spec}(O_K)$. Then $\chi_U = \chi$ is multiplicative with respect to every short exact sequence of strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves on U .*

Proof. When $U = X$ and K is totally imaginary, $P_1 = 0$ and $\ker \Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_i) = H_{et}^1(X, \mathcal{F}_i)$. The Artin-Verdier duality in this special case implies $\text{Ext}_X^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq H_{et}^2(X, \mathcal{F}_i)^D$. Therefore, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow H_{et}^1(X, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(X, \mathcal{F}_2) \rightarrow H_{et}^1(X, \mathcal{F}_3) \rightarrow R_2^D \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular,

$$\prod_{i=1}^3 [H_{et}^1(X, \mathcal{F}_i)]^{(-1)^i} = ([Q_1][R_2])^{-1}.$$

Then (4.3.16) implies $\chi(\mathcal{F}_2) = \chi(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi(\mathcal{F}_3)$. □

Proposition 4.18. *Suppose we have an exact sequence of strongly- $\mathbb{Z}$ -constructible sheaves on U*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_3 \rightarrow 0.$$

Assume further that $H_{et}^1(U, \mathcal{F}_2) = 0$ and $H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_{2,v}) = 0$ for all $v \in S$. Then

$$\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_2) = \chi_U(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_3).$$

Proof. We use the same notations used in the beginning of the section. As $H_{et}^1(U, \mathcal{F}_2) = 0$ and $H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_{2,v}) = 0$ for $v \in S$, we have $Q_1 = H_{et}^1(U, \mathcal{F}_1)$, $P_1 = \prod_{v \in S} H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_{2,v})$, $\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_1)) = 0$. Therefore, from (4.3.16)

$$\frac{\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_3)}{\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_2)} = \frac{[R_2]}{[\text{cok}(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_1))][\ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_3))]}.$$

Clearly, $\ker(\Psi^2(\mathcal{F}_1)) \simeq H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1)/\text{cok}(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_1))$. We consider the composition of maps

$$H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) \rightarrow H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1)/\text{cok}(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_1)) \xrightarrow{\beta} H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2).$$

Then by the kernel-cokernel exact sequence, we deduce

$$0 \rightarrow \text{cok}(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_1)) \rightarrow R_2^D \rightarrow \ker(\beta) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, it remains to prove $\ker(\beta) \simeq \ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_3))$. Indeed, consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & H_{et}^1(U, \mathcal{F}_3) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_3)} & \prod_{v \in S} H^1(K_v, \mathcal{F}_{3,v}) & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \ker(\Psi^2(\mathcal{F}_1)) & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^2(U, \mathcal{F}_1) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} H^2(K_v, \mathcal{F}_{1,v}) \\ & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H_c^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & H_{et}^2(U, \mathcal{F}_2) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S} H^2(K_v, \mathcal{F}_{2,v}) \end{array}$$

By diagram chasing, $\ker(\beta) \simeq \ker(\Psi^1(\mathcal{F}_3))$. Hence, $\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_2) = \chi_U(\mathcal{F}_1)\chi_U(\mathcal{F}_3)$. □

5 Artin L-functions of toric type

5.1 Special Values of L-functions

Let K be a number field. Let M be a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type. Let L/K be a finite Galois extension such that G_L acts trivially on M , in other words, L is a splitting field of M . Then $M_{\mathbb{C}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ is a finite dimensional representation of $G = G(L/K)$.

For each finite place v of K , let w be a place of L lying above v . Let D_w, I_w be the decomposition and inertia groups of w . Let F_w be the Frobenius element at w and f_w be the inertia degree. Then D_w/I_w is a cyclic group of order f_w generated by F_w . We write $N(v)$ for the norm of v . We recall the definition of the Artin L-function associated to $M_{\mathbb{C}}$ below.

Definition 5.1. *The local L-function is defined by*

$$L_v(M, s) := \det(I - N(v)^{-s} F_w | M_{\mathbb{C}}^{I_w})^{-1}.$$

Definition 5.2. *Let S be a finite set of places of K containing all the infinite places. The partial Artin L-function is defined by*

$$L_S(M, s) := \prod_{v \notin S} L_v(M, s) \quad \text{for } \operatorname{Re}(s) > 1.$$

We also write $L(M, s)$ for $L_{S_{\infty}}(M, s)$.

Then $L_S(M, s)$ is holomorphic for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1$ and has a meromorphic continuation to the complex plane. Let $r_S(M) := \operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L_S(M, s)$ and $L_S^*(M, 0) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L_S(M, s) s^{-r_S(M)}$. We want to give a formula for $r_S(M)$ and $L_S^*(M, 0)$ in terms of the Weil-étale Euler characteristic constructed in the previous sections. We begin by giving a cohomological formula for the order of vanishing and special value at zero of $L_v(M, s)$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let v be a finite prime of K and w be a prime of L lying over v .*

1. *Let $r_v(M) = \operatorname{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K_v, M)$. Then $\operatorname{ord}_{s=0} L_v(M, s) = -r_v(M)$.*
2. *Let $L_v^*(M, 0) := \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} L_v(M, s) s^{r_v(M)}$ and $h(D_w/I_w, M^{I_w})$ be the Herbrand quotient. Then*

$$L_v^*(M, 0) = \frac{h(D_w/I_w, M^{I_w})}{(f_w \log N(v))^{r_v(M)}}.$$

Proof. To ease notations, let $H := D_w/I_w$. Let $V = M_{\mathbb{C}}^{I_w}$ and $\pi_H : V \rightarrow V^H$ be the projection map

$$\pi_H(x) := \frac{1}{f_w} \sum_{n=0}^{f_w-1} F_w^n(x).$$

Let $W = \ker(\pi_H)$. We have the following exact sequence of H -spaces.

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{\pi_H} V^H \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.1.1}$$

In particular, $L_v(M, s)^{-1} = \det(I - N(v)^{-s} F_w | V^H) \det(I - N(v)^{-s} F_w | W)$. As F_w acts trivially on V^H and $V^H \simeq H^0(K_v, M)_{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\det(I - N(v)^{-s} F_w | V^H) = (1 - N(v)^{-s})^{r_v(M)}.$$

On the other hand, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \det(I - N(v)^{-s} F_w | W) = \det(I - F_w | W) \neq 0$. Indeed, suppose $\det(I - F_w | W) = 0$, then there exists a non-zero element x in W such that $F_w(x) = x$. Hence, $0 = \pi_H(x) = x$ which is a contradiction. Therefore,

$$\text{ord}_{s=0} L_v(M, s) = \text{ord}_{s=0} (1 - N(v)^{-s})^{-r_v(M)} = -r_v(M).$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - N(v)^{-s}}{s} = \log N(v).$$

Therefore, it remains to compute $\det(I - F_w | W)$. Let $N_H := f_w \pi_H$, i.e. N_H is the usual norm map in group cohomology. Let $W' := \ker(N_H | M^{I_w})$. Then $W \simeq W' \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\det(I - F_w | W) = \det(I - F_w | W')$. We have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow W' \xrightarrow{I - F_w} W' \rightarrow H_T^{-1}(H, W') \rightarrow 0. \quad (5.1.2)$$

Note that $I - F_w$ is injective on W' because M is torsion free. Therefore, $\det(I - F_w | W') = [H_T^{-1}(H, W')] = [H^1(H, W')]$. Consider the following exact sequence of H -modules

$$0 \rightarrow W' \rightarrow M^{I_w} \xrightarrow{N_H} N_H(M^{I_w}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The exact sequence of cohomology yields

$$0 \rightarrow H_T^0(H, M^{I_w}) \xrightarrow{N_H} H_T^0(H, N_H(M^{I_w})) \rightarrow H^1(H, W') \rightarrow H^1(H, M^{I_w}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since the rank of $N_H(M^{I_w})$ is $r_v(M)$ and $[H] = f_w$, we have

$$[H^1(H, W')] = \frac{f_w^{r_v(M)} [H^1(H, M^{I_w})]}{[H_T^0(H, M^{I_w})]} = \frac{f_w^{r_v(M)}}{h(H, M^{I_w})}.$$

As a result,

$$L_v^*(M, 0) = \frac{\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1 - N(v)^{-s}}{s} \right)^{-r_v(M)}}{\det(I - F_w | W)} = \frac{h(D_w / I_w, M^{I_w})}{(f_w \log N(v))^{r_v(M)}}.$$

□

Theorem 5.4. *Let M be a torsion free discrete G_K -module of finite type. Then*

$$1. \text{ord}_{s=0} L_S(M, s) = E_U(j_* M) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_U(j_* M, \mathbb{G}_m).$$

$$2. L_S^*(M, 0) = \pm \chi_U(j_* M).$$

Proof. 1. From Theorem 4.5, $E_U(j_* M) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_U(j_* M, \mathbb{G}_m)$. The ranks of $\text{Hom}_U(j_* M, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $\prod_{v \in S} H^0(K_v, M_v) / H^0(K, M)$ are the same because the regulator pairing for $j_* M$ is non-degenerate. Thus, from [Tat84, I.3.4],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord}_{s=0} L_S(M, s) &= \sum_{v \in S} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K_v, M_v) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K, M) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_U(j_* M, \mathbb{G}_m) = E_U(j_* M). \end{aligned}$$

2. Consider the two exact sequences (3.2.5) and (3.2.6) from Proposition 3.10. Since $\mathcal{Q}$ is a constructible sheaf, $\chi(\mathcal{Q}) = 1$. By Propositions 4.14, $\chi_U((\pi'_\lambda)_*\mathbb{Z}) = -L_S^*((\pi_\lambda)_*\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ and $\chi_U((\pi'_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z}) = -L_S^*((\pi_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z}, 0)$. Hence, by Proposition 4.18 and the fact that N is finite

$$\chi_U(j_*M)^n = \frac{\prod_\lambda \chi_U((\pi'_\lambda)_*\mathbb{Z})}{\prod_\mu \chi_U((\pi'_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z})} = \left| \frac{\prod_\lambda L_S^*((\pi_\lambda)_*\mathbb{Z}, 0)}{\prod_\mu L_S^*((\pi_\mu)_*\mathbb{Z}, 0)} \right| = |L_S^*(M, 0)^n|.$$

Since $L_S^*(M, 0)$ is a real number, we deduce $L_S^*(M, 0) = \pm \chi_U(j_*M)$. □

Remark 5.5. *The ambiguity of the sign in equation $L_S^*(M, 0) = \pm \chi_U(j_*M)$ is because we do not know the exact form of sequence (3.2.5). If we know the form of (3.2.5) and $n = 1$, then we can determine the sign exactly. For example, if M is the character group of a norm torus, then $L_S^*(M, 0) = \chi_U(j_*M)$.*

Corollary 5.6. *Let T be an algebraic torus over a number field K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let $\Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})$ be the map $H_{\text{ét}}^1(U, j_*\hat{T}) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} H^1(K_v, \hat{T})$. Then $\text{ord}_{s=0} L_S(\hat{T}, s) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} T(O_{K,S})$ and*

$$L_S^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \pm \frac{[Ext_U^1(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] R_{T,S}}{[\ker \Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})] w_T}. \quad (5.1.3)$$

Proof. From Theorem 5.4, (4.1.6) and the fact that $\hat{T}$ is torsion free, we have

$$L_S^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \pm \frac{[Ext_U^1(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] R(j_*\hat{T})}{[\ker \Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})] [Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tor}}]}.$$

From [Tra16, 2.3 & 4.4], $T(O_{K,S}) \simeq Hom_U(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and $T(O_K)_{\text{tor}} \simeq T(O_{K,S})_{\text{tor}}$. Moreover, $R(j_*\hat{T}) \simeq R_{T,S}$. Hence, the corollary follows. □

Theorem 5.7. *Let K be a number field and T be an algebraic torus over K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let $h_{T,S}$, $R_{T,S}$ and w_T be the S -class number, the S -regulator and the number of roots of unity of T . Let $\mathbb{III}^1(T)$ be the Tate-Shafarevich group. Then*

$$L_S^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \pm \frac{h_{T,S} R_{T,S}}{w_T} \frac{[\mathbb{III}^1(T)]}{[H^1(K, \hat{T})]} \prod_{v \in S} [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] \prod_{v \notin S} [H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]. \quad (5.1.4)$$

Proof. From [Tra16], we have the following formula for the S -class number of T

$$h_{T,S} = \frac{[Ext_U^1(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] [H^1(K, \hat{T})]}{[\ker \Psi^1(j_*\hat{T})] [\mathbb{III}^1(T)] \prod_{v \in S} [H^1(K_v, T)] \prod_{v \notin S} [H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]}. \quad (5.1.5)$$

Thus, the theorem follows from the above formula, Corollary 5.6 and the fact that $[H^1(K_v, T)] = [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})]$. □

Formula (5.1.4) yields the following formula relating $h_T R_T$ and $h_{T,S} R_{T,S}$.

Proposition 5.8. *Let T be an algebraic torus defined over a number field K . Let S be a finite set of places of K containing S_∞ . Suppose L/K is a Galois splitting field of T . For each finite place v of K , let w be a place of L dividing v . Let D_w , I_w be the decomposition and inertia groups of w and let f_w be the inertia degree. We write $r_v(\hat{T})$ for $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K_v, \hat{T})$. Then*

$$\frac{h_{T,S} R_{T,S}}{h_T R_T} = \prod_{v \in S - S_\infty} \frac{[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]}{[H^1(K_v, \hat{T})]} \frac{(f_w \log N(v))^{r_v(\hat{T})}}{h(D_w/I_w, \hat{T}^{I_w})}. \quad (5.1.6)$$

Proof. From (5.1.4) and the fact that $L_S^*(\hat{T}, 0)/L^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} L_v^*(\hat{T}, 0)^{-1}$, we have

$$\frac{h_{T,S} R_{T,S}}{h_T R_T} = \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} \frac{[H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]}{[H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] L_v^*(\hat{T}, 0)}. \quad (5.1.7)$$

Thus, (5.1.6) follows from Proposition 5.3. $\square$

Example 5.9. If $T = \mathbb{G}_m$ then (5.1.6) becomes $h_{K,S} R_{K,S} = h_K R_K \prod_{v \in S-S_\infty} \log N(v)$.

5.2 The functional equation

Using the functional equation of Artin L -functions, we can obtain a formula for $L^*(\hat{T}, 1)$ up to signs. Let $f(\hat{T})$ be the Artin conductor of $\hat{T}$ and $d = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \hat{T}$. We write $A := N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(f(\hat{T})) |\Delta_K|^d$. For each infinite place v of K we define $r_v(\hat{T}) := \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K_v, \hat{T})$ and

$$L_v(\hat{T}, s) := \begin{cases} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s)^d & v \text{ complex} \\ \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s)^{r_v(\hat{T})} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+1)^{d-r_v(\hat{T})} & v \text{ real.} \end{cases}$$

The complete Artin L -function is defined as

$$\Lambda(\hat{T}, s) := A^{s/2} \prod_{v \in S_\infty} L_v(\hat{T}, s) L(\hat{T}, s).$$

Then from [Tat84, page 18], there exists a constant $w(\hat{T}) = \pm 1$ such that

$$\Lambda(\hat{T}, s) = w(\hat{T}) \Lambda(\hat{T}, 1-s).$$

Theorem 5.10. Let K be a number field and T be an algebraic torus of dimension d over K with character group $\hat{T}$. Let h_T , R_T and w_T be the class number, the regulator and the number of roots of unity of T . Let $\mathbb{III}^1(T)$ be the Tate-Shafarevich group and let

$$\Omega_\infty(\hat{T}) := \prod_{v \text{ real}} 2^{r_v(\hat{T})} \pi^{d-r_v(\hat{T})} [H^1(K_v, \hat{T})] \prod_{v \text{ complex}} (2\pi)^d.$$

Then $\text{ord}_{s=1} L(\hat{T}, s) = -\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K, \hat{T})$ and

$$L^*(\hat{T}, 1) = \pm \frac{h_T R_T}{w_T} \frac{[\mathbb{III}^1(T)]}{[H^1(K, \hat{T})]} \frac{\Omega_\infty(\hat{T})}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(f(\hat{T}))^{1/2} |\Delta_K|^{d/2}} \prod_{v \notin S_\infty} [H^0(\hat{\mathbb{Z}}, H^1(I_v, \hat{T}))]. \quad (5.2.1)$$

Proof. Let $r_K(\hat{T}) := \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} H^0(K, \hat{T})$. Then $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \Lambda(\hat{T}, s) s^{r_K(\hat{T})}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} A^{s/2} \prod_{v \text{ real}} (\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s) s)^{r_v(\hat{T})} (\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(s+1))^{d-r_v(\hat{T})} \prod_{v \text{ complex}} (\Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(s) s)^d \frac{L(\hat{T}, s)}{s^{\sum_{v \in S_\infty} r_v(\hat{T}) - r_K(\hat{T})}} \\ &= \left(\prod_{v \text{ real}} 2^{r_v(\hat{T})} \prod_{v \text{ complex}} 2^d \right) L^*(\hat{T}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \Lambda(\hat{T}, 1-s)s^{r_K(\hat{T})}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &= \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} A^{(1-s)/2} \prod_{v \text{ real}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(1-s)^{r_v(\hat{T})} \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(2-s)^{d-r_v(\hat{T})} \prod_{v \text{ complex}} \Gamma_{\mathbb{C}}(1-s)^d L(\hat{T}, 1-s)s^{r_K(\hat{T})} \\ &= A^{1/2} \left(\prod_{v \text{ real}} \pi^{r_v(\hat{T})-d} \prod_{v \text{ complex}} \pi^{-d} \right) (-1)^{r_K(\hat{T})} L^*(\hat{T}, 1). \end{aligned}$$

As $\Lambda(\hat{T}, 1-s) = \pm \Lambda(\hat{T}, s)$, we deduce

$$\frac{L^*(\hat{T}, 1)}{L^*(\hat{T}, 0)} = \pm \frac{\prod_{v \text{ real}} 2^{r_v(\hat{T})} \pi^{d-r_v(\hat{T})} \prod_{v \text{ complex}} (2\pi)^d}{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(f(\hat{T}))^{1/2} |\Delta_K|^{d/2}}. \quad (5.2.2)$$

The theorem then follows from (5.1.4). $\square$

5.3 Examples : Norm Tori of Quadratic fields

Let d be a square-free integer. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ and $T = R_{K/\mathbb{Q}}^{(1)}(\mathbb{G}_m)$ be the norm torus. We want to illustrate the results of this section using T . Let $\pi : \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\pi' : \text{Spec}(O_K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}) = X$. Then $\hat{T}$ satisfies the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \hat{T} \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $j : \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow X$. As $R^1 j_* \mathbb{Z} = 0$, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi'_* \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow j_* \hat{T} \rightarrow 0$$

which yields the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_X(j_* \hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow O_K^* \xrightarrow{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}} \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_X^1(j_* \hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(O_K) \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that $\ker(\Psi^1(j_* \hat{T})) = 0$ by [Tra16, Remark 5.3]. Thus,

$$L^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \frac{[\text{Ext}_X^1(j_* \hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] R_T}{w_T}.$$

1. Suppose $d < 0$ i.e. K is an imaginary quadratic field. We have $O_K^* = \mu_K$ which is finite. Hence, $\text{Hom}_X(j_* \hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)$ is finite and $R_T = 1$. Moreover, $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\mu_K) = \{1\}$. Therefore, $\text{Hom}_X(j_* \hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \mu_K$ and $[\text{Ext}_X^1(j_* \hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] = 2h_K$. As a result,

$$L^*(\hat{T}, 0) = \frac{2h_K}{w_K}. \quad (5.3.1)$$

Let v be the only infinite prime of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $H^0(K_v, \hat{T}) = 0$ hence $r_v(\hat{T}) = 0$. Moreover, by the conductor-discriminant formula $|f(\hat{T})| = |\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})/\mathbb{Q}}|$. Therefore, by (5.2.2)

$$L^*(\hat{T}, 1) = \pm \frac{2\pi h_K}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})/\mathbb{Q}}|} w_K}. \quad (5.3.2)$$

2. Suppose $d > 0$ i.e. K is a real quadratic field. Let ϵ be the fundamental unit of K . Then $O_K^* \simeq \{\pm 1\} \times \epsilon^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

- If $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\epsilon) = 1$ then $\text{Hom}_X(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq O_K^*$. Hence, $R_T = \log(\epsilon)$ and $w_T = 2$. Moreover, $[\text{Ext}_X^1(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] = 2h_K$.
- If $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\epsilon) = -1$ then $\text{Hom}_X(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m) \simeq \{\pm 1\} \times \epsilon^{2\mathbb{Z}}$. Hence, $R_T = 2\log(\epsilon)$ and $w_T = 2$. Moreover, $[\text{Ext}_X^1(j_*\hat{T}, \mathbb{G}_m)] = h_K$.

Either way, we still obtain

$$L^*(\hat{T}, 0) = h_K \log(\epsilon). \quad (5.3.3)$$

Let v be the only infinite prime of $\mathbb{Q}$. Then $H^0(K_v, \hat{T}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ hence $r_v(\hat{T}) = 1$. Moreover, by the conductor-discriminant formula $|f(\hat{T})| = |\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}|$. Therefore, by (5.2.2)

$$L^*(\hat{T}, 1) = \pm \frac{2h_K \log(\epsilon)}{\sqrt{|\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}|}}. \quad (5.3.4)$$

6 Appendix: Determinants and Torsions

We review some results about determinants of exact sequences and orders of torsion subgroups of finitely generated abelian groups.

6.1 Determinants of Exact Sequences

For $n \geq 1$, consider the following exact sequence of vector spaces over $\mathbb{R}$

$$0 \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{T_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} \dots \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}} V_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{E}).$$

Let B_i be an ordered basis for V_i . We want to define the determinant $\nu(\mathcal{E})$ of $(\mathcal{E})$ with respect to the bases $\{B_i\}$. We shall do so inductively.

1. If $n = 1$, then $\nu(\mathcal{E}) := |\det(T_0)|$ with respect to the given bases.
2. If $n = 2$, suppose $B_0 = \{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$, $B_1 = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^{r+s}$ and $B_2 = \{w_i\}_{i=1}^s$. For $i = 1, \dots, s$, let $T_1^{-1}(w_i)$ be any preimage of w_i under T_2 . We can form the following elements $\wedge_{i=1}^{r+s} v_i$ and $(\wedge_{i=1}^r T_0(u_i)) \wedge (\wedge_{i=1}^s T_1^{-1}(w_i))$ of $\wedge_{i=1}^{r+s} V_1$. Since $\wedge_{i=1}^{r+s} V_1$ is a 1 dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{R}$, there exists a unique positive real number δ such that

$$(\wedge_{i=1}^r T_0(u_i)) \wedge (\wedge_{i=1}^s T_1^{-1}(w_i)) = \pm \delta (\wedge_{i=1}^{r+s} v_i).$$

Note that the choice of the preimages of w_i under T_1 does not affect $(\wedge_{i=1}^r T_0(u_i)) \wedge (\wedge_{i=1}^s T_1^{-1}(w_i))$. Therefore we can define $\nu(\mathcal{E}) := \delta$.

3. If $n \geq 3$, suppose we have defined $\nu(\mathcal{E})$ for $n = N$. We want to define $\nu(\mathcal{E})$ for $n = N + 1$. Let I be the image of T_{N-1} and choose any basis for I . We split $(\mathcal{E})$ into

$$0 \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{T_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} \dots \xrightarrow{T_{N-2}} V_{N-1} \xrightarrow{T_{N-1}} I \rightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{E}_1),$$

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow V_N \xrightarrow{T_N} V_{N+1} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{E}_2).$$

The determinant of $(\mathcal{E})$ defined to be $\nu(\mathcal{E}) := \nu(\mathcal{E}_1)\nu(\mathcal{E}_2)^{(-1)^{N-1}}$. Note that $\nu(\mathcal{E})$ is independent of the choice of basis for I .

Remark 6.1. 1. Let $(\mathcal{E})$ be an exact sequence of $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{T_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} \dots \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}} V_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{E}).$$

We split $(\mathcal{E})$ into two exact sequences $(\mathcal{E}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2)$ such that $\beta\alpha = T_i$.

$$0 \rightarrow V_0 \xrightarrow{T_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} \dots \xrightarrow{T_{i-1}} V_i \xrightarrow{\alpha} J \rightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{E}_1).$$

$$0 \rightarrow J \xrightarrow{\beta} V_{i+1} \xrightarrow{T_{i+1}} \dots \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}} V_n \rightarrow 0 \quad (\mathcal{E}_2).$$

Then by an induction argument, we can show that $\nu(\mathcal{E}) = \nu(\mathcal{E}_1)\nu(\mathcal{E}_2)^{(-1)^i}$.

2. Let $(\mathcal{E}^*)$ be the dual sequence of $(\mathcal{E})$ and let B_i^* be the dual basis of B_i . Then with respect to $\{B_i^*\}$ and $\{B_i\}$, $\nu(\mathcal{E}^*) = \nu(\mathcal{E})^{-1}$.

Lemma 6.2. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_A} & A_3 \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_A) \\
 & & \downarrow \theta_1 & & \downarrow \theta_2 & & \downarrow \theta_3 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_B} & B_3 \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_B) \\
 & & \downarrow \tau_1 & & \downarrow \tau_2 & & \downarrow \tau_3 \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & C_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_C} & C_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_C} & C_3 \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{E}_C) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 \\
 & & (\mathcal{E}_1) & & (\mathcal{E}_2) & & (\mathcal{E}_3)
 \end{array}$$

Let $\{a_i, b_i, c_i\}_{i=1}^3$ be bases for $\{A_i, B_i, C_i\}_{i=1}^3$. Then with respect to these bases

$$\frac{\nu(\mathcal{E}_2)}{\nu(\mathcal{E}_1)\nu(\mathcal{E}_3)} = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{E}_B)}{\nu(\mathcal{E}_A)\nu(\mathcal{E}_C)}.$$

Proof. By the definition of $\nu(\mathcal{E}_B)$, we have

$$\wedge_i b_2^i = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_B)^{-1} (\wedge_i \phi_B(b_1^i)) \wedge (\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1}(b_3^i)). \quad (6.1.1)$$

Let $M := (\wedge_i \phi_B \theta_1(a_1^i))$ and $N := (\wedge_i \phi_B \tau_1^{-1}(c_1^i))$. By the definition of $\nu(\mathcal{E}_1)$,

$$\wedge_i \phi_B(b_1^i) = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} (\wedge_i \phi_B \theta_1(a_1^i)) \wedge (\wedge_i \phi_B \tau_1^{-1}(c_1^i)) = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} M \wedge N. \quad (6.1.2)$$

Let $P := (\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1} \theta_3(a_3^i))$ and $Q := (\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1} \tau_3^{-1}(c_3^i))$. By the definition of $\nu(\mathcal{E}_3)$,

$$\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1}(b_3^i) = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_3)^{-1} (\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1} \theta_3(a_3^i)) \wedge (\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1} \tau_3^{-1}(c_3^i)) = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_3)^{-1} P \wedge Q. \quad (6.1.3)$$

Putting together (6.1.1), (6.1.2) and (6.1.3), we deduce

$$\wedge_i b_2^i = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_B)^{-1} \nu(\mathcal{E}_1)^{-1} \nu(\mathcal{E}_3)^{-1} M \wedge N \wedge P \wedge Q. \quad (6.1.4)$$

Let $M' := (\wedge_i \theta_2 \phi_A(a_1^i))$, $N' := (\wedge_i \tau_2^{-1} \phi_C(c_1^i))$, $P' := (\wedge_i \theta_2 \psi_A^{-1}(a_3^i))$, and $Q' := (\wedge_i \tau_2^{-1} \psi_C^{-1}(c_3^i))$. By a similar argument, we have

$$\wedge_i b_2^i = \pm \nu(\mathcal{E}_2)^{-1} \nu(\mathcal{E}_A)^{-1} \nu(\mathcal{E}_C)^{-1} M' \wedge N' \wedge P' \wedge Q'. \quad (6.1.5)$$

From (6.1.4) and (6.1.5), it is enough to show

$$M \wedge N \wedge P \wedge Q = M' \wedge N' \wedge P' \wedge Q'.$$

Indeed, we have $M = M'$ since $\phi_B \theta_1 = \theta_2 \phi_A$. Let $x = N - N'$. As $\phi_B \tau_1^{-1}(c_1^i) - \tau_2^{-1} \phi_C(c_1^i) \in \ker \tau_2 = \text{im}(\theta_2)$, we deduce x is a finite sum of wedge products such that each product has a factor which is an element of $\text{im}(\theta_2)$.

Similarly, let $y = P - P'$. As $\psi_B^{-1} \theta_3(a_3^i) - \theta_2 \psi_A^{-1}(a_3^i) \in (\ker \psi_B) = (\text{im} \phi_B)$, y is a finite sum of wedge products such that each product has a factor belonging to $\text{im}(\phi_B)$.

Since $\tau_3 \psi_B = \psi_C \tau_2$, $\psi_B^{-1} \tau_3^{-1}(c_3^i) - \tau_2^{-1} \psi_C^{-1}(c_3^i)$ is an element of $\ker(\tau_3 \psi_B)$. As a vector space, $\ker \tau_3 \psi_B$ is spanned by $\ker \psi_B = \text{im} \phi_B$ and $\psi_B^{-1} \ker(\tau_3) = \psi_B^{-1} \text{im}(\theta_3)$. Therefore

$$Q - Q' = (\wedge_i \psi_B^{-1} \tau_3^{-1}(c_3^i)) - (\wedge_i \tau_2^{-1} \psi_C^{-1}(c_3^i)) = z + t$$

where z, t are finite sums such that each summand of z (respectively t) has a factor belonging to $\text{im}(\phi_B)$ (respectively $\psi_B^{-1} \text{im}(\theta_3)$).

Claim: $M' \wedge x \wedge P' = 0$, $M \wedge N \wedge y = 0$, $M \wedge N \wedge z = 0$, $P \wedge t = 0$.

Proof of claim: Recall that $M' = (\wedge_i \theta_2 \phi_A(a_1^i))$ and $P' = (\wedge_i \theta_2 \psi_A^{-1}(a_3^i))$. It is clear that $\{\theta_2 \phi_A(a_1^i), \theta_2 \psi_A^{-1}(a_3^i)\}$ span $\text{im}(\theta_2)$. As each summand of x has a factor belonging to $\text{im}(\theta_2)$, $M' \wedge x \wedge P' = 0$. The rest of the claim can be proved in a similar fashion. Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} M \wedge N \wedge P \wedge Q &= M \wedge N \wedge P \wedge (Q' + z + t) = M \wedge N \wedge P \wedge Q' \\ &= M \wedge N \wedge (P' + y) \wedge Q' = M \wedge N \wedge P' \wedge Q' \\ &= M' \wedge (N' + x) \wedge P' \wedge Q' = M' \wedge N' \wedge P' \wedge Q'. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following proposition can be deduced from Lemma 6.2 by an induction argument (whose proof we omit).

Proposition 6.3. *Consider the following commutative diagram of $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & & (6.1.6) \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_{0,0} & \xrightarrow{T_{0,0}} & V_{0,1} & \xrightarrow{T_{0,1}} & V_{0,2} & \longrightarrow \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_{0,n} & \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{R}_0) \\ & & \downarrow T'_{0,0} & & \downarrow T'_{0,1} & & \downarrow T'_{0,2} & & & \downarrow T'_{0,n} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_{1,0} & \xrightarrow{T_{1,0}} & V_{1,1} & \xrightarrow{T_{1,1}} & V_{1,2} & \longrightarrow \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_{1,n} & \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{R}_1) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V_{m,0} & \xrightarrow{T_{m,0}} & V_{m,1} & \xrightarrow{T_{m,1}} & V_{m,2} & \longrightarrow \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_{m,n} & \longrightarrow 0 & (\mathcal{R}_m) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & & 0 & & \\ & & (\mathcal{C}_0) & & (\mathcal{C}_1) & & (\mathcal{C}_2) & & & (\mathcal{C}_n) & & \end{array}$$

Let $B_{i,j}$ be an ordered basis for $V_{i,j}$. Then with respect to the bases $B_{i,j}$,

$$\prod_{i=0}^n \nu(\mathcal{C}_i)^{(-1)^i} = \prod_{i=0}^m \nu(\mathcal{R}_i)^{(-1)^i}. \quad (6.1.7)$$

Corollary 6.4. *Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_A} & A_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_A} & A_3 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \theta_1 & & \downarrow \theta_2 & & \downarrow \theta_3 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & B_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_B} & B_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi_B} & B_3 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} (\mathcal{E}_A) \\ (\mathcal{E}_B) \end{array}$$

Assume further that all the vertical maps are isomorphisms. Let $\{a_i, b_i\}_{i=1}^2$ be bases for $\{A_i, B_i\}_{i=1}^2$ respectively. Then with respect to these bases

$$\frac{|\det \theta_1| |\det \theta_3|}{|\det \theta_2|} = \frac{\nu(\mathcal{E}_A)}{\nu(\mathcal{E}_B)}.$$

6.2 Orders of Torsion Subgroups

For a finitely generated abelian group M , we write M_f for M/M_{tor} and by an integral basis for M , we mean a $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for M_f . Moreover, if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a group homomorphism then $f_{tor} : M_{tor} \rightarrow N_{tor}$.

Lemma 6.5. *Consider the following exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\phi} C \xrightarrow{\psi} D \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0. \quad (6.2.1)$$

Assume A is finite. Then the orders of the torsion subgroups are related by

$$\frac{[A][C_{tor}]}{[B_{tor}][D_{tor}]} = \frac{1}{[\text{cok}(\psi_{tor})]}.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that if A is finite then the map $B_{tor} \rightarrow (B/A)_{tor}$ is surjective. $\square$

Lemma 6.6. *Let $(\mathcal{E})$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$$

and $(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the sequence $(\mathcal{E})$ tensoring with $\mathbb{R}$. Then with respect to any integral bases,

$$\nu(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbb{R}} = \frac{[A_{tor}][C_{tor}]}{[B_{tor}]} = [\text{cok}(\psi_{tor})]. \quad (6.2.2)$$

Proof. From remark 6.1, for any section γ of $\psi_{\mathbb{R}}$, $\nu(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbb{R}} = |\det \theta_{\gamma}|$, with respect to integral bases. As $|\det \theta_{\gamma}|$ is independent of the choice of integral bases, we only need to show that there exist a section γ of $\psi_{\mathbb{R}}$ and integral bases of A , B and C such that (6.2.2) holds.

Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & B_{tor} & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & B_f \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \psi_{tor} & & \downarrow \psi & & \downarrow \psi_f \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & C_{tor} & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & C_f \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

The Snake Lemma yields $\text{cok}(\psi_f) = 0$ and

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \psi_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow \ker \psi = \text{im}(\phi) \rightarrow \ker \psi_f \rightarrow \text{cok} \psi_{\text{tor}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, $[\text{cok} \psi_{\text{tor}}] = [\ker \psi_f / \text{im}(\phi)]$. Since $\psi_f : B_f \rightarrow C_f$ is surjective and C_f is a free abelian group, there exists a section $\gamma : C_f \rightarrow B_f$ of ψ_f and we have $B_f = \ker(\psi_f) \oplus \gamma(C_f)$. Take any integral basis $\{w_i\}_{i=1}^s$ for C_f . By the Smith Normal form, there are $\mathbb{Z}$ -bases $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^r$ for A_f and $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^r$ for $\ker \psi_f$ such that $\phi_f(u_i) = m_i v_i$ where m_i is a positive integer for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then $\{u_1, \dots, u_r, w_1, \dots, w_s\}$ and $\{v_1, \dots, v_r, \gamma(w_1), \dots, \gamma(w_s)\}$ form integral bases for $A_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus C_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $B_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, $[\ker \psi_f / \text{im}(\phi)] = \prod_{i=1}^r |m_i|$.

Let $\theta_{\gamma} : A_{\mathbb{R}} \oplus C_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}}$ be given by $\theta(a, c) = \psi(a) + \gamma(c)$. Then with respect to the above integral bases, $\det(\theta_{\gamma}) = \prod_{i=1}^r |m_i|$. As a result,

$$\nu(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbb{R}} = |\det \theta_{\gamma}| = \prod_{i=1}^r |m_i| = \left[\frac{\ker \psi_f}{\text{im}(\phi)} \right] = [\text{cok} \psi_{\text{tor}}] = \frac{[A_{\text{tor}}][C_{\text{tor}}]}{[B_{\text{tor}}]}.$$

□

Proposition 6.7. *Let $(\mathcal{E})$ be an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow A_0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the sequence $(\mathcal{E})$ tensoring with $\mathbb{R}$. Let B_i be an ordered integral basis for A_i . Then with respect to B_i ,

$$\nu(\mathcal{E})_{\mathbb{R}} = \prod_{i=0}^n [(A_i)_{\text{tor}}]^{(-1)^i}.$$

Proof. The proof uses induction on n . The base case when $n = 2$ is Lemma 6.6. □

Corollary 6.8. *Suppose we have an exact sequence of finitely generated abelian groups*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\phi} C \xrightarrow{\psi} D \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

where A and E are finite groups. Then with respect to integral bases,

$$\nu([0 \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\phi} C_{\mathbb{R}} \xrightarrow{\psi} D_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow 0]) = \frac{[B_{\text{tor}}][D_{\text{tor}}]}{[A][C_{\text{tor}}][E]} = \frac{[\text{cok}(\psi_{\text{tor}})]}{[\text{cok} \psi]}.$$

References

- [CF10] Cassels and Frohlich (editors), *"Algebraic Number Theory"*, 2nd edition, Proceedings of an instructional conference organized by the London Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [BL] M. Bienenfeld and S. Lichtenbaum, *"Values of Zeta and L-functions at zero: a cohomological characterization"*, (unpublished).
- [HK11] A. Huber and G. Kings, *"A cohomological Tamagawa number formula"*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 202, 2011
- [Lic75] S. Lichtenbaum, *"Values of Zeta and L-functions at zero"*, Asterisque 24-25, 1975

- [Lic05] S. Lichtenbaum, "*The Weil-étale Topology on Schemes over Finite Fields*", *Compositio Mathematica* 141, 2005
- [Lic09a] S. Lichtenbaum, "*The Weil-étale Topology for Number Rings*", *Annals of Mathematics* 170, 2009
- [Lic09b] S. Lichtenbaum, "*Euler Characteristics and Special Values of Zeta-Functions*", *Motives and algebraic cycles*, *Fields Institute Communications* 56, 2009
- [Lic13] S. Lichtenbaum, "*The Conjecture of Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer*", manuscripts
- [Lic14] S. Lichtenbaum, "*Special values of Zeta-functions of schemes*", manuscripts
- [Mil80] J. Milne, "*Étale Cohomology*", *Princeton Mathematical Series*, Princeton University Press, 1980.
- [Mil06] J. Milne, "*Arithmetic Duality Theorems*", 2nd edition, BookSurge LLC, 2006.
- [Neu99] J. Neukirch, "*Algebraic Number Theory*", *A Series of Comprehensive Studies in Mathematics*, Springer, 1999.
- [Ono61] T. Ono, "*Arithmetic of Algebraic Tori*", *Annals of Mathematics* 74.1 , 1961.
- [Ono63] T. Ono, "*On the Tamagawa Number of Algebraic Tori*", *Annals of Mathematics* 78.1, 1963.
- [Ser95] J-P. Serre, "*Local Fields*", *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, Springer, 1995.
- [Ser97] J-P. Serre, "*Galois Cohomology*", *Springer Monographs in Mathematics*, Springer, 1997.
- [Tat84] J. Tate, "*Les Conjectures de Stark sur les Fonctions L d'Artin en $s=0$.*", *Progress in Mathematics*, Volume 47, Birkhäuser, 1984.
- [Tra16] M-H. Tran, "*A Formula for the S-Class Number of an Algebraic Torus*", manuscript, 2016.
- [Wei94] C. Weibel, "*Introduction to Homological Algebra*", *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*, Cambridge University Press, 1995.

Department of Mathematics, University of Regensburg, 93040 Regensburg, Germany.

Email : minh-hoang.tran@mathematik.uni-regensburg.de